

DALLAS TX 75202-3789

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/813,85	2 03/07/97	DOCKERY		R	21651.3	
		PM11/0618	\neg		EXAMINER	
JEFFREY M BECKER			•	BARTUSKA, F		
HAYNES AN	> BOONE					
S100 MATI	DNSBANK PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
901 MAIN :	STREET			3617	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

06/18/98

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	DOCKERY	et al.	
Office Action Summary	9 8/3 85 Examiner	RTUSKA	Group Art Unit 3617		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover she	et beneath the c	orrespondence ac	ddress	
Period for Response		Tipac			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE	MER MONT	H(S) FROM THE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defending to respond within the set or extended period for response will 	s, a response within the s efault, expire SIX (6) MON	tatutory minimum of t	hirty (30) days will be o	considered timely.	
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on MAR.	1,199			 •	
☐ This action is FINAL .	•				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193			the merits is clo	sed in	
Disposition of Claims					
A Claim(s)	is/are	is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above claim(s)	is/are	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
□ Claim(s)	is/are	is/are allowed.			
Claim(s) /-/6	is/are	is/are rejected.			
☐ Claim(s)					
□ Claim(s)					
Application Papers		require	ement.		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	ng Review, PTO-948.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🛚 approv	ed 🗆 disapprove	d.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. 					
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb □ received in this national stage application from the Int 					
*Certified copies not received:					
Attachment(s)	2				
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	Vo(s).	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413			
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	48	☐ Other			

Office Action Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

*U.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/62710 Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 3107

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless ..

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 11, 12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Tai. Tai discloses direct mail and in-store delivery of coupons in col. 1, lines 26-29.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any

مبد

Art Unit: 3107

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 4. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tai. Tai discloses all the features of the applicants' claimed invention except the store name and colors on the coupon. Merely calling for the store name and colors to be on coupons would involve only a notorious expedient of the art especially in the situation in which the coupon is for a brand which is exclusive to a store.
- 5. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tai in view of Doane et al. Tai shows all the features of the applicants' claimed invention except assembling a plurality of the coupons into a magazine. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the magazine assembly of Doane et al to assemble a plurality of the publications of Tai into a magazine.
- 6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tai in view of the "Retailing" publication. Tai shows all the features of the applicants'

Art Unit: 3107

claimed invention except that the publications include recipes. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the teaching on page 20 in lines 28-40 of the first column of the "Retailing" publication to include recipes in magazine advertisements of food, which advertisements include the coupons of Tai.

7. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tai in view of Doane et al as applied to claim 7 above. Further, merely calling for particular time periods between publications would involve only a notorious expedient of the art.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Murphy et al is cited to show the newspaper advertising 82 and the in-store advertising 88.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. J. Bartuska whose telephone number is (703) 308-1111.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Karen Young, can be reached on (703) 308-1107. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7687.

Art Unit: 3107

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [karen.young@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees will not communicate with applicant via Internet e-mail where sensitive data will be exchanged or where there exists a possibility that sensitive data could be identified exchanged unless there is of record an express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122 by the applicant. See the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Patent and Trademark Office Official Gazette on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

F. J. BARTUSKA PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 3100